Laboratory Study of Flow Characteristics Through three Baffle – Sluice Gates.
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ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study is to investigate the experimentally the coefficient of discharge for three baffle sluice gates and compared with single gate. The channel slope was changed tow times (0, 0.0075). For this purpose three baffle sluice gates were made from fibber class with (1cm) thick, (30cm) wide and (15,25,30)cm height for first, second and third gate respectively. The three gates have been sharp edge from the top and bottom with 2mm thick. The distance between the three parallel baffle gates were changed three times (2, 3.5, 5) cm. The analysis of results showed that discharge coefficient $C_d$ in horizontal channel is larger than its value in inclined channel with direction of flow and the change in space between gates didn't cause an important difference between values of discharge coefficient $C_d$. The values of $C_d$ were between (0.561 - 0.736) for horizontal channel and three gates, while when the channel inclined the values of $C_d$ were between (0.523 -0.662) for three gates.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gates are widely used in open channel flow or in irrigation networks as water regulator, flow measurement devices, used for either water delivery or as a check structure. The sluice gate is one of the most common device. Depending to the tail water depth value and gate opening the flow conditions through a vertical Sluice gate can be classified as (Bijankhan et al., 2017).

1. Free flow condition; which occurs when the tail water level is equal or less than the gate opening and the water surface is smooth (Fig. 1-a) (Swamee 1992).

2. Submerged flow condition (Fig. 1-b) (Swamee 1992); which occurs when the tail water level is above the gate opening and the water surface become rough.

The flow characteristics upstream and downstream of baffle sluice gates were studied experimentally by many authors. Sluice gate used as discharge measurement structure was found, by Rajartnam (1977). Ferro’s (2000) suggested the first dimensional analysis of a sluice gate flow for free flow condition.

Ansar and Ferro (2001) developed the proposed method to submerged flow condition. A set of modules was originally developed by (Mishra et al.1990). The module is basically a (100cm) long and (10cm) wide rectangular channel containing three vertical baffle sluice gates.

A types of flow equations has been approached by theoretical and empirical formulas and by graphical approaches. Swamee(1992) presented two formulas for determining free and submerged discharge coefficients based on the head–discharge curves of (Henry’s1950). Babu M. et al. (1996) tested the strength of the design
proposed by (Mishra et al.,1990) but with a different module dimension. Lin, et al., (2002) presents a theoretical formula and some experimental graphs to determine maximum allowable tail water depth for free flow (or minimum allowable tail water depth for submerged flow). The effect of lower gate edge on water surface profile has been studied in rectangular flume by (Mohammed & Khaleel, 2013).The discharge coefficient for the free flow through three baffle sluice gates in horizontal and inclined channel was investigated in this study.

Figure 1. Type of flow: (a) Free Flow; and (b) Submerged Flow

2. THEORETICAL BASES

A definition sketch for the different water levels flow through and over three baffle sluice gates, is shown in Fig.(2).

The total discharge through three baffle sluice gates was calculated from equation:

\[ Q_{t,h,e} = Q_u + Q_d \quad \ldots 1 \]

where:

- \( Q_u \): discharge through the opening below the active gate (L\(^3\)/T).
- \( Q_d \): discharge over the active gate when the elevation of water increase above the top edge of active gate (L\(^3\)/T).
- \( Q_{t,h,e} \): total theoretical discharge through the active baffle sluice gate (L\(^3\)/T).

\[ Q_u = \frac{2}{3} B \sqrt{2gh} h^{1.5} \quad \ldots 2 \]

\[ Q_d = 2aha \sqrt{2gh} \quad \ldots 3 \]

where:

- \( g \): acceleration due to gravity (L/T\(^2\)).
- \( a \): gate opening (L).
- \( B \): the width of the channel (L).
- \( h \): the head over top edge of active gate (L).
- \( L \): is the length of the active gate.

\[ H_1: \text{the total head(m)} ; H_1 = a+L+h \]

\[ Q_{act} = C_d Q_{t,h,e} \quad \ldots 4 \]

where:

\[ C_d = f \left( \frac{B}{H_1} , \frac{h}{H_1} , \frac{a}{h_1} , \frac{e}{H_1} , \frac{H_2}{H_1} , \frac{H_3}{H_1} , \frac{H_4}{H_1} , S , \frac{\mu}{\rho Q_{act}} , \frac{\mu H_1}{\rho Q_{act}} \right) \quad \ldots 5 \]

\[ \text{But} \quad \frac{\mu H_1}{\rho Q_{act}} = \frac{1}{Re}, \quad \text{Where} \ Re \text{ is Reynolds number} \]

\[ \text{And} \quad \frac{\mu h_1}{Q_{act}} = \frac{1}{Fr}, \quad \text{Where} \ Fr \text{ is froud number} \]

\[ C_c: \text{is the contraction coefficient it found by dividing} \left( \frac{H_2}{H_1}, \frac{H_3}{H_1}, \frac{H_4}{H_1} \right) \div \frac{a}{h_1} \]

Eq. (6) can be written as

\[ C_d = f \left( \frac{B}{H_1} , \frac{h}{H_1} , \frac{a}{h_1} , \frac{e}{H_1} , C_c , S , \frac{1}{Fr^2}, \frac{1}{Re} \right) \]

The effected of Reynolds number was negligible. (Negm et. al., 2002).

Figure 2. Flow conditions for each flow controlling baffle

3. THE PROPOSED METHODOLOGY
The experiments were conducted in the Hydraulic Laboratory of Dams and water Resources Engineering Department, University of Mosul, Iraq.

All the experiments were carried out in laboratory channel of (10m) length, with rectangular cross section (0.3m) wide and (0.45m) height, channel walls were made of glass, and the bed consisted of stainless-steel plates. The walls of channel were of toughened glass with number of perplex panels united. A pair of a change able instrument rails were fitted on the top of the channel sides through the working length of the channel two movable carriages equipped with point gage were mounted on the rails fig. (3) Shows the laboratory channel used in this study. Each gates was made from fibber class plate (1cm) thickness, (30cm) width and (15, 25, 30cm) height for first, second and third gate respectively. Gates opening were changed times. The distance between gates changed three times (2cm, 3.5cm, 5cm). Tested model were fixed at (2m) downstream of the channel inlet and the discharge were measured with standard full thin plate sharp crested rectangular weir with dimensions (10*30*1)cm located at the outlet of the channel, the standard weir manufactured according to the British standard (British standard Institute, 1965). The average of three readings of the water surface profiles were recorded for each test, and the discharge passing through the baffle sluice gates were measured with a standard weir. The water surface level and the head above standard weir were measured with precision point gauge, whose least count was (0.5mm). More than 300 experiments were carry out through the work, the experimental program is shown in fig (4).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Variation of \( C_d \) with the ratio \( \frac{B}{H_1} \)

The relation between the coefficient of discharge \( (C_d) \) and the ratio \( \frac{B}{H_1} \) for different spaces, different slopes and gate opening \((a1=6cm, a2=5.5cm, a3=5.3cm)\) where shown in fig (5&6). From laboratory measurement it was found that the best relation between \( C_d \) & \( \frac{B}{H_1} \) is shown in equation 8.

\[
C_d = c \left( \frac{B}{H_1} \right)^b \quad \ldots 8
\]

Figures (5&6) shows that the value of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) decreases with the increase of \( \frac{B}{H_1} \) and its value increases with the increase distance between baffles. Comparing figure(5) with figure(6) it can be noted that the channel slope has a negative effect on the value of \( C_d \) which shows less values of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) for the same geometric combinations.
Table (1) shows the parameters of eq.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S=0$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e=2cm$</td>
<td>0.6194</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.9665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=3.5cm$</td>
<td>0.6203</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.9518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=5cm$</td>
<td>0.6212</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.9605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single gate</td>
<td>0.6247</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.9557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S=0.0075$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e=2cm$</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=3.5cm$</td>
<td>0.5875</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=5cm$</td>
<td>0.5897</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.9244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single gate</td>
<td>0.5965</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.9826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Variation of (Cd) with the ratio $\frac{a}{H_1}$

The relation between Cd and ratio $\frac{a}{H_1}$ was drawn in figs (7, 8) for different space between gates, for horizontal and inclined channel respectively for constant gate opening ($a_1=6cm$, $a_2=5.5cm$, $a_3=5.3cm$). Equation (9) was found to be the best relation between Cd & $\frac{a}{H_1}$:

$$Cd = c \left( \frac{a}{H_1} \right)^b \ldots 9$$

Table (2) shows the parameters of eq.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S=0$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
figures (7&8) shows that the value of discharge coefficient $C_d$ decreases with the increase of $\frac{e}{H_1}$, and its value increases with the increase distance between baffles. Comparing figure(7) with figure(8) it can be noted that the channel slope has a negative effect on the value of $C_d$ which shows less values of discharge coefficient $C_d$ for the same geometric combinations.

4.3. Variation of ($C_d$) with the ratio $\frac{e}{H_1}$

The relation between $C_d$ and the ratio $\frac{e}{H_1}$ space between gates and different slopes for constant gate opening ($a_1=6cm$, $a_2=5.5cm$, $a_3=5.3cm$). It found that the best relation between $C_d$ and $\frac{e}{H_1}$ is shown in equation 10.

$$C_d = c \left( \frac{e}{H_1} \right)^b$$ ...10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S=0.0075$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e=2cm$</td>
<td>0.5573</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=3.5cm$</td>
<td>0.5628</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.9176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=5cm$</td>
<td>0.5664</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.9091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single gate</td>
<td>0.5593</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.9826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S=0$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e=2cm$</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.9665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=3.5cm$</td>
<td>0.6013</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.9518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=5cm$</td>
<td>0.6052</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S=0.0075$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=2cm$</td>
<td>0.5356</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=3.5cm$</td>
<td>0.5524</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e=5cm$</td>
<td>0.5618</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.9244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures (9&10) shows that the value of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) decreases with the increase of \( \frac{e}{H_l} \) and its value increases with the increase distance between baffles. Comparing figure(9) with figure(10) it can be noted that the channel slope has a negative effect on the value of \( C_d \) which shows less values of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) for the same geometric combinations.

4.4. Variation of (C\( d \)) with the ratio \( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \)

The relation between \( C_d \) and the ratio \( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \) is drawn in figs (11, 12) for different space between gates and different slop. Equation (11) was found to be the best relation between \( C_d \) & \( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \).

\[
C_d = c \left( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \right)^b \quad ...11
\]

Table (4) shows the value of the parameters of eq.11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S=0</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e=2cm</td>
<td>0.6128</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=3.5cm</td>
<td>0.6126</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.9424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=5cm</td>
<td>0.6134</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single gate</td>
<td>0.6133</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=2cm</td>
<td>0.6180</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.9615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=3.5cm</td>
<td>0.5726</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.9221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=5cm</td>
<td>0.5756</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.9182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single gate</td>
<td>0.5752</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures (11&12) shows that the value of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) increases with the increase of \( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \), and its value increases with the increase distance between baffles. Comparing figure (11) with figure (12) it can be noted that the channel slope has a negative effect on the value of \( C_d \) which shows less values of discharge coefficient \( C_d \) for the same geometric combinations.
4.5. Predicted Model

Based on the dimensional analysis a relation between $C_d$ and the parameters ($a/H_1$, $C_c$, $e/H_1$, $l/H_1$, $B/H_1$ and $1/Fr^2$) are predicted using (spss statistics) is presented in eq. (12).

$$C_d = 0.58 \times \left( \frac{a}{H_1} \right)^{-0.58} \times \left( \frac{C_c}{H_1} \right)^{-0.589} \times \left( \frac{B}{H_1} \right)^{-0.66} \times \left( \frac{1}{Fr^2} \right)^{-0.32} \times \cos(\phi) \ldots 12$$

With correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.833$.

The relation between $C_d$ observed experimentally and those predicted by equation (12) are plotted in fig (13) which give a good agreement between predicated and calculated values of $C_d$.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on experimental results of this study for flow through the three baffle sluice gates the following can be concluded:

a- The coefficient of discharge ($C_d$) decrease when the slop increase for all gate opening.

b- The coefficient of discharge ($C_d$) decrease as $B/H_1$, $e/H_1$, $a/H_1$, $l/H_1$ increase.

c- The coefficient of discharge ($C_d$) increase as $1/Fr^2$ increase.

d- For a constant discharge the head of water upstream baffle sluice gate is more than that in front of single gate. i.e the baffle sluice gates increase the head of water more than single gate.

**list of notation:**

- $Q_d$: discharge through the opening below the active gate ($L^3 T^{-1}$).
- $Q_u$: discharge over the active gate when the elevation of water increase above the top edge of active gate ($L^3 T^{-1}$).
- $Q_{act}$: the total actual discharge through the standard rectangular weir ($L^3 T^{-1}$).

$C_d$: the coefficient of discharge for the baffle sluice gate.

$g$: acceleration due to gravity ($L T^{-2}$).

$B$: the width of the channel ($L$).

$a$: gate opening ($L$).
$a_1$: the first gate opening.

$a_2$: the second gate opening.

$a_3$: the third gate opening.

$h$: the head over top edge of active gate ($L$).

$H_1$: the total head (m); $H_1=a+L+h$

$H_2$: the head of water before first gate ($L$).

$H_3$: the head of water before second gate ($L$).

$H_4$: the head of water before third gate ($L$).

$e$: the distance between gates ($L$).

$L$: the length of the active gate ($L$).

$S$: the slope of channel.

$\rho$: density of water (ML$^{-3}$).

$\mu$: dynamic viscosity (ML$^{-1}$T$^{-1}$).
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دراسة مختبرية لتقدير معامل التصريف لثلاث بوابات كمحك متتالية

الخلاصة

أن الهدف الرئيسي من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم معامل التصريف لثلاث بوابات كمحك متتالية ومقارنتها مع بوابة مفردة. عندما يتغير ميل القنات (5-3.5-2 سم)، بينما تتغير القيمة الآتية (تريتيس في البداية) من القيمة الألفية إلى القيمة الألفية، البريد تتشابه بين البوابات الثلاثة. تغيرت ثلاث مرات (5-3.5-2 سم). مع تحليق البوابات كانت قيمة معامل التصريف في القنات الألفية أكبر من قيمة معامل التصريف في القنات الألفية، حيث تراوحت قيمة Qd بين (0.561-0.736) لكلًا الألفية وثلاث بوابات كمحك وقيم Qd بين (0.523-0.662) لكلًا القنات المثلثة وثلاث بوابات كمحك.